

NONGRASSMANN QUANTIZATION OF THE DIRAC SYSTEM

Piotr GARBACZEWSKI

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław, 50-205 Wrocław, Poland

Received 3 July 1979

We develop a path integral formalism which allows understanding of the Dirac equation in terms of the conventional canonical (phase space) variables: the internal, which are constrained and the external.

1. We denote \mathcal{F} an 8-dimensional euclidean manifold parametrized by the canonical coordinates $\{\rho_\mu, \pi_\mu\}_{\mu=1,2,3,4}$ with μ being an euclidean label. The following Poisson bracket structure is imposed on \mathcal{F} :

$$\{\rho_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{\rho_\mu, \rho_\nu\} = 0 = \{\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu\}, \quad (1)$$

so that the antisymmetric second rank tensor

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \rho_\mu \pi_\nu - \rho_\nu \pi_\mu, \quad (2)$$

can be used to define the two three-vectors: $A_i = F_{i4} = \rho_i \pi_4 - \rho_4 \pi_i$, $L_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} F_{jk}$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ which satisfy the O(4) group Lie algebra commutation relations on \mathcal{F} :

$$\begin{aligned} \{L_i, L_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk} L_k, & \{L_i, A_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk} A_k, \\ \{A_i, A_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk} L_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and set on \mathcal{F} in a linear way, according to

$$\begin{aligned} -\{F_{\mu\nu}, \rho_\lambda\} &= \rho_\mu \delta_{\nu\lambda} - \rho_\nu \delta_{\mu\lambda}, \\ -\{F_{\mu\nu}, \pi_\lambda\} &= \pi_\mu \delta_{\nu\lambda} - \pi_\nu \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

2. From now on, we will only use the natural system of units $\hbar = c = 1$. Let us make a conventional canonical quantization step, by introducing a Schrödinger representation of the canonical commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_\mu, \pi_\nu]_- &= i\delta_{\mu\nu}, & [\rho_\mu, \rho_\nu]_- &= 0 = [\pi_\mu, \pi_\nu]_-, \\ [a_\mu, a_\nu^*]_- &= \delta_{\mu\nu}, & [a_\mu, a_\nu]_- &= 0 = [a_\mu^*, a_\nu^*]_-, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$a_\mu^* = (1/\sqrt{2})(\rho_\mu - i\pi_\mu), \quad a_\mu = (1/\sqrt{2})(\rho_\mu + i\pi_\mu). \quad (5)$$

In terms of a_μ^*, a_μ an operator $F_{\mu\nu}$ appears in the form

$$F_{\mu\nu} = i(a_\mu^* a_\nu - a_\nu^* a_\mu), \quad (6)$$

so that $[F_{\mu\nu}, N]_- = 0$ with $N = \sum_\mu a_\mu^* a_\mu$ and

$$A_k = i(a_k^* a_4 - a_4^* a_k), \quad L_k = i\epsilon_{ijk} a_j^* a_k. \quad (7)$$

As a consequence the O(4) Lie algebra commutation relations are immediately satisfied.

By defining $L_i = s_i - \zeta_i$, $A_i = s_i + \zeta_i$ we find furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_2^* a_3 - a_3^* a_2 + a_1^* a_4 - a_4^* a_1), \\ s_2 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_3^* a_1 - a_1^* a_3 + a_2^* a_4 - a_4^* a_2), \\ s_3 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_3^* a_4 - a_4^* a_3 + a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1), \\ \zeta_1 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_1^* a_4 - a_4^* a_1 - a_2^* a_3 + a_3^* a_2), \\ \zeta_2 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_2^* a_4 - a_4^* a_2 - a_3^* a_1 + a_1^* a_3), \\ \zeta_3 &= \frac{1}{2} i(a_3^* a_4 - a_4^* a_3 - a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$[s_i, N]_- = 0 = [\zeta_i, N]_- = 0 = [N, s^2]_-, \quad s^2 = \boldsymbol{\xi}^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [s_i, \zeta_j]_- &= 0, & [s_i, s_j]_- &= i\epsilon_{ijk} s_k, \\ [\zeta_i, \zeta_j]_- &= i\epsilon_{ijk} \zeta_k. \end{aligned}$$

3. By virtue of the above commutation relations, we can represent the O(4) group Lie algebra in the $N = 1$

sector of the carrier Hilbert space $\otimes_{\mu=1}^4 h_{\mu} = h$ for $\{a_{\mu}^*, a_{\mu}\}_{\mu=1,2,3,4}$. For that purpose, we shall use a weaker than $N=1$ constraint and demand state vectors of interest to belong to a subspace $\mathbf{1}_F \otimes_{\mu=1}^4 h_{\mu} = h_F$ of h , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_F &= \prod_{\mu} \mathbf{1}_{F\mu} \\ &= \prod_{\mu} \{ : \exp(-a_{\mu}^* a_{\mu}) : + a_{\mu}^* : \exp(-a_{\mu}^* a_{\mu}) : a_{\mu} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then by taking advantage of the projection theorem (theorem 4) of ref. [1], we get the following identities on h_F :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_F s(a^*, a) \mathbf{1}_F &= s(a^* \rightarrow b^*, a \rightarrow b) = s_F, \\ \mathbf{1}_F \zeta(a^*, a) \mathbf{1}_F &= \zeta(a^* \rightarrow b^*, a \rightarrow b) = \zeta_F, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the mere replacement of the Bose generators a_{μ}^*, a_{μ} by Fermi generators b_{μ}^*, b_{μ} in eqs. (8) is enough to produce a representation of the $O(4)$ Lie algebra, which is irreducible in the $N=1$ subspace $h_{1/2}$ of h_F . Here, on $h_{1/2}$ we have: $s^2 = s(s+1) = 3/4$ and $\dim h_{1/2} = 4$. The explicit formulas for the Bose constructed generators b_{μ}^*, b_{μ} can be found in refs. [1,2] or quite easily deduced by noting that $\mathbf{1}_F a_{\mu}^* \mathbf{1}_F = \sigma_{\mu}^+$, $\mathbf{1}_F a_{\mu} \mathbf{1}_F = \sigma_{\mu}^-$, where $\sigma_{\mu}^+, \sigma_{\mu}^-$ are the familiar Pauli operators, and then using the Jordan–Wigner transformation [3,2].

4. It was shown by Dahl [4], in his study of the spinning relativized quantum top, that by using the $O(4)$ group Lie algebra generators s_F, ζ_F and the external space–momentum variables p, x , the nonmatrix form of the Dirac hamiltonian arises in the form

$$H_F \phi = i\partial\phi/\partial t, \quad H_F = 2m\zeta_{F3} + 4\zeta_{F1}(s_F p), \quad (11)$$

where $p_k = -i\partial/\partial x_k$, $(x, ict) = x$ and throughout the paper $\hbar = c = 1$. Then

$$\phi = \phi(x, t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \psi_{\mu}(x, t) \theta_{\mu},$$

with θ_{μ} 's being the eigenvectors of $s^2 = \zeta^2 = 3/4$ in $h_{1/2}$. The orthonormality conditions $(\theta_{\mu}, \theta_{\nu}) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ allow us to introduce a conventional matrix realization of the Dirac equation

$$(m\beta + \alpha p)\psi = i\partial\psi/\partial t,$$

$$\alpha_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (12)$$

where ψ is a column consisting of the expansion coefficients $\psi_{\mu}(x, t)$ of ϕ . Our basic assumption here is to use the spin 1/2 representation of the $O(4)$ group Lie algebra as given before i.e. the Bose constructed one.

5. The Dirac hamiltonian H_F is densely defined in the tensor product Hilbert space $h_{1/2} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{H} = \otimes_{i=1}^3 h_i$ carries a Schrödinger representation of the canonical space–momentum variables $\{x_i, p_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$. If $P_{1/2}$ denotes a projection onto the $N=1$ subspace $h_{1/2}$ of $h = \otimes_{\mu=1}^4 h_{\mu}$ we find that $H_F = P_{1/2} H P_{1/2}$, where the operator H is densely defined in $h \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and is *completely determined in terms of the quantized phase space variables* for the system

$$H = H(\rho, \pi, p) = H(a^*, a, A^*, A),$$

with

$$A_k^* = (1/\sqrt{2})(x_k - ip_k), \quad A_k = (1/\sqrt{2})(x_k + ip_k).$$

By inspection, one easily finds that:

$$\begin{aligned} H = :H(\rho, \pi, p): \\ + p_1(a_1^* a_1 + a_4^* a_4 - a_2^* a_2 - a_3^* a_3) \\ + p_2(a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1 - a_4^* a_3 - a_3^* a_4) \\ + p_3(a_1^* a_3 + a_4^* a_2 + a_2^* a_4 + a_3^* a_1), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $:H:$ stands for a normal ordered form of H : recall that under the sign of the normal ordering all ρ, π variables commute to 0.

We have thus proved that the quantized Dirac system can be represented as a conventional hamiltonian system with a total number of 7 (4 internal and 3 external) quantum degrees of freedom, among which the 4 internal ones are subject to the constraint $(N-1)\phi = 0$, with $N = \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu}^* a_{\mu}$.

6. The transition amplitude for any quantum hamiltonian system with constraints can be expressed in the path integral representation (as a sum over all phase space trajectories), according to the general formalism of Faddeev [5], see e.g. ref. [6].

The quantum constraint $(\sum_{\mu} a_{\mu}^* a_{\mu} - 1)\phi = 0$ is an image of the following classical one:

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{\mu} \bar{\alpha}_{\mu} \alpha_{\mu} = (1/2)(\rho^2 + \pi^2) = 1 .$$

In the Faddeev formalism a classical constraint must be accompanied by the "gauge" condition $\varphi(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = 0$, which is restricted by the demand that the Poisson bracket (in the ρ, π variables) $\{\varphi(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha), \mathcal{N}\}$ does not vanish. A convenient choice is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) &= \sum_{\mu} \rho_{\mu} \pi_{\mu} = (\rho, \pi) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \det(\{\varphi, \mathcal{N}\}) &= \pi^2 - \rho^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then a physical phase space for the "classical" Dirac system is 12 dimensional (with 6 internal and 6 external dimensions). The "classical" hamiltonian for the Dirac system is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= H(a^* \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}, a \rightarrow \alpha, A^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}, A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}) \\ &= H_{cl}(\rho, \pi, \mathbf{p}) + (p_1/2) \\ &\times (\rho_1^2 + \pi_1^2 + \rho_4^2 + \pi_4^2 - \rho_2^2 - \pi_2^2 - \rho_3^2 - \pi_3^2) \\ &+ p_2(\rho_1\rho_2 + \pi_1\pi_2 - \rho_3\rho_4 - \pi_3\pi_4) \\ &+ p_3(\rho_1\rho_3 + \pi_1\pi_3 + \rho_2\rho_4 + \pi_2\pi_4) , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and obviously has nothing in common with any conventional $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit, as in our case $\hbar = c = 1$ both on the classical and quantum levels. Our "classical" level can be interpreted as to give account of the true classical (i.e. continuous) motions but then on a microscopic scale. Any $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit means approaching a macroscale on which all finite volume contributions of microclassical fluctuations to macrotrajectories are negligible.

The path integral representation of the transition amplitude reads

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \prod_{\mu} \mathcal{D} \rho_{\mu} \mathcal{D} \pi_{\mu} \prod_i \mathcal{D} p_i \mathcal{D} x_i \prod_i \delta(\rho^2 + \pi^2 - 2) \\ &\times \delta((\rho, \pi))(\pi^2 - \rho^2) \exp \left[i \int L dt \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where:

$$L = \sum_{\mu} \pi_{\mu} \dot{\rho}_{\mu} + \sum_i p_i \dot{x}_i - \mathcal{H}_0(\rho, \pi, \mathbf{p}) \quad (17)$$

and \mathcal{D} indicates an appropriate measure.

7. Let us make a short comment on the role of the gauge condition $(\rho, \pi) = 0$ which divides the internal phase space into two orthogonal subspaces. One can easily check that the quantity

$$G = (1/2)(L^2 + A^2) = (1/2)\rho^2\pi^2 - (\rho, \pi)^2 ,$$

is an invariant of the $O(4)$ group on the classical manifold, and that by fixing the value of G , we choose in the physical internal phase space of the Dirac system a five-dimensional submanifold, which is an orbit with respect to the action of $O(4)$. The constraint

$$\rho^2 + \pi^2 - 2 = 0 = 2G/\pi^2 + \pi^2 - 2 ,$$

fixes the variability range of G to the interval $[0, 1/2]$ in which $\pi_{\pm}^2 = 1 \pm (1 - 2G)^{1/2}$, $\rho_{\pm}^2 = 1 \mp (1 - 2G)^{1/2}$, are the allowed radii of the mutually orthogonal hyperspheres.

Remark. For an example of the Grassmann quantization of the Dirac system, see e.g. ref. [8]. Some references to the earlier nonGrassmann quantization approaches can also be found there, see also refs. [6,4].

This work was partially supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Faculty of Science of the University of Alberta. I would like to thank Prof. H. Umezawa for making this financial assistance available.

References

- [1] P. Garbaczewski, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 642.
- [2] P. Garbaczewski, Phys. Rep. 36C (1978) 65.
- [3] P. Garbaczewski, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. ser. astr. math. phys. 25 (1977) 711.
- [4] J.P. Dahl, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat. Fys. Medd. 39 (1977) No. 12.
- [5] L.D. Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 1 (1969) 3.
- [6] A. Jevicki and N. Papanicolaou, Semiclassical spectrum of the Heisenberg chain, IAS Princeton preprint (1978).
- [7] V.B. Serebrennikov, A.E. Shabad and Y.A. Smorodinskiy, Nuovo Cimento 47A (1978) 333.
- [8] F.A. Berezin and M.S. Marinov, Ann. Phys. (NY) 104 (1977) 336.